review the entire claim file to determine the appropriate response. (2) In response to defense counsel's request for an extension of time to respond to the complaint, counsel for plaintiff has agreed to grant an extension of time to answer the complaint through March 13, 2008. However,

27

28

2 re

1

plaintiff's counsel has not responded to defendant's counsel's emails and telephone calls requesting an extension of time to respond to the complaint, and defendant's counsel has not yet determined whether a motion to dismiss would be appropriate in this matter.

4

Respectfully submitted,

5

RIMAC & MARTIN, P.C.

67

DATED: February 29, 2008

By: /s/ Anna M. Martin

Attorneys for Defendant

UNUM LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA

9

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION

The Court should grant defendant's *Ex Parte* Application for an Order Extending Time to Respond to Complaint, to March 13, 2008, or later, for the following reasons: (1) Counsel for defendant has just received the complete claim file, and requires additional time to review the entire claim file to determine the appropriate response. (2) In response to defense counsel's request for an extension of time to respond to the complaint, counsel for plaintiff has agreed to grant an extension of time to answer the complaint through March 13, 2008. However, plaintiff's counsel has not responded to defendant's counsel's emails and telephone calls requesting an extension of time to respond to the complaint, and defendant's counsel has not yet determined whether a motion to dismiss would be appropriate in this matter.

II. LEGAL ARGUMENT

Local Rule provides that "The Court may, in its discretion, grant an initial extension <u>ex</u> <u>parte</u> upon the affidavit of counsel that a stipulation extending time cannot reasonably be obtained, explaining the reasons why such a stipulation cannot be obtained and the reasons why

the extension is necessary. Except for one such initial extension, ex parte applications for

1 2

extension of time will not ordinarily be granted."

3

1. Good Cause Exists For Granting This Motion

5

4

6

7

9

10

1112

13 14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

Counsel for defendant has requested that plaintiff stipulate to extending time for a response to the complaint, but plaintiff's counsel has only granted an extension of time to answer the complaint, and plaintiff's counsel has not responded to defendant's requests that the extension of time be to respond to the complaint. (Decl. Counsel, ¶¶ 2-7.)

Good cause exists for granting an extension of time to respond to the complaint because counsel for defendant has just received the entire claim file, and has thus not had the opportunity to review the claim file, and determine an appropriate response to the complaint. (Decl. Counsel, ¶ 8.) In response to defense counsel's request for an extension of time to respond to the complaint, counsel for plaintiff has agreed to grant an extension of time to answer the complaint through March 13, 2008. However, plaintiff's counsel has not responded to defendant's counsel's emails and telephone calls requesting an extension of time to respond to the complaint, and defendant's counsel has not yet determined whether a motion to dismiss would be appropriate in this matter. (Decl. Counsel, ¶¶ 2-8.)

Thus, defendant respectfully requests that the Court extend the deadline to respond to the complaint to March 13, 2008 or later.

Respectfully submitted,

RIMAC & MARTIN, P.C.

By: /s/ Anna M. Martin

ANNA M. MARTIN
Attorneys for Defendant

UNUM LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY OF

AMERICA

DATED: February 29, 2008

	Case 4:08-cv-01059-SBA Document 5 Filed 02/29/2008 Page 4 of 4
1	<u>ORDER</u>
2	The Court, having considered the within <i>ex parte</i> application made this day by defendant
3	UNUM Life Insurance Company of America, and good cause appearing therefor,
4	IT IS ORDERED that defendant must respond to the complaint by March 13, 2008.
5	SO ORDERED.
6	
7	
8	DATED: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
1516	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	-

Christine Hopkins, requesting that she agree to a stipulation of time to extend time to respond to

plaintiff's complaint, to March 13, 2008, for the reasons set forth in this application.

27

28